

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,408	08/19/2003	Peter Krenn	PA.1046.ap.US	. 7585
7590 07/02/2007 Mark A. Litman & Associates, P.A. York Business Center, Suite 205			EXAMINER	
			COLLINS, DOLORES R	
3209 West 76th Street Edina, MN 55435			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bullia, WII V 33 V			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·						
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	10/644,408	KRENN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dolores R. Collins	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It imely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	<u>May 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 25-38</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 25-38</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ce Action of form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been rece	ived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Examiner acknowledges response by applicant's representative received 5/23/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 11, 15, 25-27, 29-30, 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fineman (230)

Fineman disclosed a Card Shuffler.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 1-3, 5-6, 11, 15, 25-27, 29-30, 33-38

Fineman teaches a device that has an inner case a platform with a plurality of compartments (pre-shuffler) and an outer case (main shuffler) for aligning and the outputting cards (see abstract and figures 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 7-10, 12-14, 28 & 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fineman (230) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Johnson et al. (127).

Regarding claims 4 & 28

Fineman fails to teach horizontal movement of his cards with respect to the input portion of the main shuffler. Johnson teaches lateral movement of his cards during delivery (see col. 2, lines 7-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Fineman to include lateral (side to side or horizontal) movement to add variety to the card handling process.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 7-10, 12-14 & 31-32

Fineman fails to teach a rack that rotates. Johnson teaches a carousel mechanism, with multiple compartments, which rotates in the card handling process (see abstract). It would have been obvious to modify Fineman to include a rotating mechanism to add variety to his device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 & 25-38 have been considered but are not considered persuasive. Applicant seems to be arguing subject matter that is not properly being claimed.

Applicant has amended claims 1 and 35 to include functional language only.

Applicant further seems to be arguing the function of his invention. Examiner notes that applicant has presented apparatus claims only. The method by which cards are inserted into applicant's device is given no weight at this juncture.

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and are cited to show the state of art with respect to features of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Dolores R. Collins* whose telephone number is *(571)* **272-4421**. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Eugene Kim* can be reached on *(571) 272-4463*. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is *571-273-8300*.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/25/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER